



ASIAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

Available online at <http://www.asianjir.com>

Received 20th Jan. 2018;
Accepted 12 Feb. 2018
Online March 2018

M. Bharathi
P.G and Research
Department of Zoology,
TBML College, Porayar -
609 307, Tamil Nadu,
India.

***Corresponding author**
Dr. A. Christy Ponni,
P.G and Research
Department of Zoology,
TBML College, Porayar -
609 307, Tamil Nadu,
India.

FOOD AND FEEDING ANALYSIS OF MARINE FISH *Sphyaena jello*

M. Bharathi and A. Christy Ponni*

ABSTRACT

Commercially important fish *Sphyaena jello* were collected from the Karaikal marine area south east coast of Pondicherry India, from January 2016 to December 2016. The samples were selected randomly and stored in boxes containing ice. This fish was resulted as carnivorous. The *Sphyaena jello* stomach showed variety of food particles which holds small fishes as a main food item. *Sphyaena jello* constitutes 88.6% of fish in their food habit.

Keywords: Food, feeding, Stomach weight, food items

Citation: M. Bharathi and A. Christy Ponni. (2018). Food and feeding analysis of marine fish *Sphyaena jello*. *Asian Journal of Innovative Research* 3(1) 50-53.

INTRODUCTION

Sphyaena jello belongs to family of Sphyaenidae which also known as sea wolf is signifying its savage and aggressive behavior while preying. Out of this fish family, 20 barracuda species inhabit in the world (Salini *et al.*, 1994). The fish is physically characterized by a wide and long anatomy with lateral strips and it is often found in the tropical and semi tropical water bodies. Having sharp teeth on its jaws, the fish attacks its prey in an ambush and by its jaws, so that the fish can easily wound and grind its prey (Porter and Motta, 2004). Some studies attribute origin of this fish to those fish species inhabiting in coral reefs as their safe shelter (Aburto-Oropeza and Balart, 2001).

Changes in the populations of marine fishes have prompted researchers to examine and assess their stocks. In the past decade, the exploited fish populations, which provide insight into the fluctuation of the marine resources (Gulland, 1991). Predator pressure is a pervasive influence on the evolution of populations and on the structure and function of nearly all marine communities and ecosystems (Duffy and Hay, 2001).

Stomach content analysis, even in its most casual and anecdotal form, can yield incidental but immediately valuable information since predators are often better sampling devices than most commercial fishing gears (Caddy and Sharp 1986). Information on the food habits of marine fishes, such as the predator-prey relationships, is useful in order to assess the role of marine fishes in the ecosystem. There are only a few studies that describe stomach content analysis of marine fishes from the South China Sea and east coast of Malaysia.

Khalijah and Salleh (1985), Chan and Liew (1986) and Mohsin *et al.* (1987) studied the stomach contents of communities of small demersal fish. These studies did not include the moderate to large fish species. The present study was conducted for *Sphyraena jello* was collected from Karaikal area waters on the south east coast of Pondicherry, India in order to determine their dietary compositions and food habits. Since there is little published information on the diets of fish from the South India Sea, the results of this study are also aimed at better understanding the biology of predator and prey species as well as being useful for stock- and ecosystem-level analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish samples *Sphyraena jello* were collected from Karaikal area, south east coast of because of their size. The fishes' feeding habits fell in the spectrum between generalist and specialist.

The fish *Sphyraena jello* found in coastal areas of continental and island shelves. The gut content observed sizes ranged from 45-70 cm. It feeds mainly on small fishes, squids and shrimps. It caught mainly with handlines, bottom trawls, gill nets and encircling nets (Carpenter and Niem, 1999). The fish is an active carnivore, fishes (90.6%) and cephalopods (9.4%) (Fig. 1) forming the main constituent of the diet (Cuttle fish, oil sardine, lesser sardine, mackerel, anchovy, undigested fish mass, *Stolephorus* sp, completely digested fish paste, unidentified fish mass). The present study on stomach contents elaborates feeding composition of commercially important fishes of this region. The *Arius thalassinus* is

management of marine resources has usually been defined on the basis of a single species model that has been used to develop multi-species models of Pondicherry, India, which is located at latitude 11° 05' North South and longitude 79 ° 5' East West on Southern part of India. The samples were selected randomly and then stored in boxes containing ice to slow bacterial digestion process in the fish stomachs and make it easier to identify the prey. The fish samples were taken to laboratory for further analysis. The total length and fresh weight of the individual specimens were measured. Then the fish guts were removed and cut open. All food items in the stomachs were identified. The total number, wet weight and occurrence of each prey item in the stomach of the fishes were recorded. The dietary components for each species studied were expressed as a percentage of species composition. The food and feeding habits of the study fish *Sphyraena jello* were recorded from January 2016 to December 2016.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, the fish samples were collected from Karaikal area, south east coast of Pondicherry, India. The specie of marine fish *Sphyraena jello* selected for study is given in Table 1. This specie utilize a low number of different food items in *Sphyraena jello* are more selective in their diets and specialize on particular food items (Cuttle fish, oil sardine, lesser sardine, mackerel, anchovy, undigested fish mass, *Stolephorus* sp, completely digested fish paste, unidentified fish mass). The composition of the diet indicated that the fully adult fish was carnivores feeding on small marine animals, mainly teleosts. Cephalopods, crustaceans, echinoderm and mollusks also contributed to the diet (Table 1). It was possible to count and weigh all food items in the stomach and most of the prey items were easily identified mainly feeds on benthic composition of animals. This results major constituent of feed is crustaceans. Although in some articles it has been indicated as scavenger due to the presence of big teleost vertebrae bone (Suseelan and Somasekharan Nair, 1969).

Sphyraena jello is a bigger fish that encountered in this study. The majority of food preference is fishes and cephalopods. The most frequently eaten prey items (fish, crustaceans, mollusks, worms and echinoderms) are the same than in all other studies on the diet of tropical carnivorous coastal fishes. The frequency of these items is probably not necessarily proportional to their abundance in the environment. Fish diet is highly linked to fish size as demonstrated by numerous studies which show that it acts on prey

preference, prey diversity, feeding behavior or feeding rate. Nakamura *et al.* (2003) indicates that there may be important changes of diet with size, many species switching from smaller, easier to access prey, to larger prey or to prey more difficult to catch or extract but of higher nutritive value. It is difficult to assess the consequence of such shifts in

terms of energy flow as well as in terms of impact on the environment (Jones *et al.*, 1991). The analysis of the food of different species of trawl fishes has shown that most of them are predators on actively moving benthic invertebrates and teleost fishes (Suseelan and Somasekharan Nair, 1969).

Table 1: Food and feeding habits of fish *Sphyraena jello* collected from Karikal south east coast of Pondicherry India (January 2016 to December 2016).

| Month and Year | Stomach weight (gm) | Food items |
|----------------|---------------------|---|
| Jan. 2016 | 59.5 ± 4.20 | Oil sardine, lesser Sardines, mackeral, and cuttle fish |
| Feb. 2016 | 60.75 ± 5.96 | Oil sardine, shrimps, mackeral, and cuttle fish |
| Mar. 2016 | 59.50 ± 4.20 | Oil sardine, mackeral, cuttle fish and digested fish mass |
| Apr. 2016 | 74.75 ± 7.36 | <i>Stolephorus</i> sp., lesser sardines, anchovy, digested fish paste |
| May 2016 | 40.50 ± 5.80 | Cuttle fish, oil Sardine, lesser sardines, undigested fish mass |
| Jun. 2016 | 77.25 ± 8.53 | Cuttle fish, oil sardine, lesser sardine, mackeral, undigested fish mass, <i>Stolephorus</i> sp, and completely digested fish paste |
| Jul. 2016 | 55.75 ± 7.41 | Mackeral, cuttle fish, sciaenid and completely digested fish paste |
| Aug. 2016 | 78.5 ± 8.27 | Mackeral, cuttle fish, lesser sardines, shrimp and unidentified fish mass |
| Sep. 2016 | 105.25 ± 4.64 | Oil sardine, lesser sardine, mackeral, cuttle fish, shrimp and unidentified fish mass |
| Oct. 2016 | 125.75 ± 8.95 | Oil sardine, lesser Sardine, cuttle fish, mackeral, undigested fish mass, <i>Stolephorus</i> sp, and completely digested fish paste |
| Nov. 2016 | 135.25 ± 7.13 | Oil sardine, lesser sardine, anchovy, mackeral, cuttle fish, shrimp and unidentified fish mass |
| Dec. 2016 | 67.25 ± 5.74 | Oil sardine, lesser sardine, mackeral, cuttle fish, shrimp and completely digested fish paste |

Each value is the mean ± S.D. of four observations

However, it is important to recognize the actual complexity of the situation because species may feed at different levels in the food chain at different stages of their life cycle. For instance, Landry (1997) found that fully adult codfish are predators on herring, but when they are small (<50 cm long) they feed on copepods and other planktonic crustaceans. Barracuda is a carnivorous species and attacks its prey through either camouflage or in an ambush and tears it into pieces by its sharp jaws (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2006).

CONCLUSION

The present basic information of the food and feeding habits would form a useful tool for further studies. Therefore, both direct and indirect predation effects are important aspects that can give guidelines for the management of marine resources in this region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the Principal and HOD of Zoology, TBML College, Porayar for the laboratory facilities provided.

REFERENCES

- Aburto-Oropeza O and Balart, EF. (2001) Community structure of reef fish in several habitats of a rocky reef in the Gulf of California. *Mar. Ecol.*, 22: 283 – 305.
- Caddy JF and Sharp GD. (1986) An ecological framework for marine fishery investigations. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 283. FAO, Rome.
- Carpenter KE and Niem VH. (1999) FAO species identification guide for fishery purposes. The living marine resources of the Western Central Pacific. Rome, FAO, Vol. 4 (2): pp: 2069-2790.
- Chan EH and Liew HC. (1986) Characteristics of an exploited tropical shallow-water demersal fish community in Malaysia, pp. 349-352.
- Duffy JM and Hay ME. (2001) The ecology and evolution of marine consumer prey interaction, *Marine Comm. Ecol.*, pp. 131-157.

- Gulland JA. (1991) Some problems of management of shared stocks. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No 206. FIRM/ T206. FAO, Rome.
- Jones GP., Ferrell DJ and Sale PF. (1991) Fish predation and its impact on the invertebrates of coral reefs and adjacent sediments. In: Sale P. (Ed.) The ecology of fishes on coral reefs. *Academic Press*, pp: 156 - 179.
- Khalijah SD and Salleh MMT. (1985) Stomach contents of selected demersal species from South China Sea, pp. 187- 192
- Landry MR. (1997) A review of important concepts in the trophic organization of pelagic ecosystems. *Helgolander Wissenschaften Meeresuntersuchungen*, 30: 8 - 17.
- Mohsin AKM., Hayase S., Ambak MA., Zaki SMM and Khan AHT. (1987) Feeding habits of fishes found in the EEZ off Sarawak, pp. 145-143.
- Nakamura Y, Horimonchi M, Nakai T and Sano M. (2003) Food habits of fishes in a seagrass bed on a fringing reef at Iriomote Island, Southern Japan. *Ichthyol. Res.*, 50: 15 - 22.
- Porter HT and Motta PJ. (2004) A comparison of strick and prey capture kinematics of three species of piscivorous fishes: Florida gar (*Lepisosteus platyrhincus*), redbfin needle fish (*Strongylura notate*) and great barracuda (*Sphyræna barracuda*). *Mar. Biol.*, 145: 989– 1000.
- Ramachandran D, Mohan M and Sankar TV. (2006) Physioco-chemical characteristics of muscle protein from barracuda (*Sphyræna jello*) of different weight groups. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 40: 1418 – 1426.
- Salini JP., Blaber SJ and Brewer DT. (1994) Diets of trawled predatory fish of the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia, with particular reference to predation on prawn. *Aust. J. Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 45: 397 – 411.
- Suseelan C and Somasekharan Nair V. (1969) Food and feeding habits of the demersal fishes off Bombay. *Ind. J. Fisheries*, 16(1&2): 56 - 74.

Source of support: Nil;
Conflict of interest: None declared